Ham-Policy Digest   Sun, 27 Feb 94   Volume 94 : Issue   87

Today's Topics:
                        Ed Ellers is trivial
                         FCC regulations...
                 I WANT MY EXTRA CLASS PERMIT NOW
                On-line Repeater Directory (2 msgs)
                     The *language* requirement!

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 27 Feb 94 04:05:57 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: Ed Ellers is trivial
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

>Why would I *want* an Extra Class license? All it adds over Advanced is
>CW-only spectrum; since I have no desire to use CW there's no need for it.

uh, not true Ed.

With an Extra you can be a VE Team Liaison & be a Volunteer Examiner that can
give ALL the exams.....not just Novice and Tech like the General/Advanced VEs
can.

Whether the few added kHz is of tremendous import in the world today, can be
questioned, but we could use some new guys in the VE programs...the average
age around here is 60 (and when you take out the people on the list that have
died (but not expired at the VEC yet..8)) the average age goes UP!.

Plus the old guys have been doing this for, what?, 10 years now, and it's
showing......

Bill wb9ivr

Date: 26 Feb 1994 05:09:37 GMT
From: hmwaljee@athena.mit.edu
Subject: FCC regulations...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Hello. I am not sure if this is 100% relevant for this channel, but I figure
that the people that would know anything about the area of my question are
subscribed to this channel.

I am a student here at MIT that is implementing a project to "track" the MIT
shuttles, so that students logged into the network will be able to find out where
it is and when to wait. In order to do this, I was probably going to use a
numerical code, transmitted using touch-tone (DTMF) encoders and decoders,

In order to do this, however, I would have to use a frequency on which to
transmit. Thus, this project then finds itself at the hands of the FCC. I was
wondering if anyone out there knows about the regulations concerning broadcasting
"beeps" over the range of a few square miles in a major city. This will probably
be a signal in the range of 10^1 kW. Which regulations apply? How do I find
out about them? What radio bands would/could I use? Any
idea as to the efficiency of such transmission?

     Anyway, thanks for listening, and I hope someone out there has both the
answers and the time to write back.

     Replies to: hmwaljee@mit.edu

Thanks again,
 Hussein

Date: Sat, 26 Feb 94 01:33:10 GMT
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx10!jmaynard@uunet.uu.net
Subject: I WANT MY EXTRA CLASS PERMIT NOW
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <064307Z23021994@anon.penet.fi>,
someone pretending to be Dan Pickersgill <an64930@anon.penet.fi> wrote:
>>I want my EXTRA class permit NOW! - I'm far too busy to work for it.

In article <2kf7jp$q6t@sugar.neosoft.com>,
A great x ray technician! <xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM> took the bait:
>You've been waiting three years? WHAT have you been doing, since you
>obviously haven't been working on your upgrade. Ah...let me guess.
>You've been posting in rec.radio.amateur.policy. And whining.

Tsk, Robert. Dan says enough himself that's worthy of flaming for the rest of
us to have to respond to obvious flamebait. After all, Dan doesn't post
through the anon.penet.fi server...
--
Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can
jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.
"The difference between baseball and politics is that, in baseball, if you
                get caught stealing, you're out!" -- Ed Shanks

----

Date: 25 Feb 1994 21:44:15 GMT
From: unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!
howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!news.umbc.edu!haven.umd.edu!cville-
srv.wam.umd.edu!@mvb.saic.com
Subject: On-line Repeater Directory
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Just because there's an online repeater directory out there doesn't mean
that there's no market for the ARRL Repeater Directory. As stated prev-
iously, who really wants to carry around a bunch of 8-1/2 x 11 inch
sheets of paper, anyway?

All of you people out there - have you seen that incredibly neat repeater
MAP book out there? It shows up at hamfests all the time, and MANY times
have I considered getting a copy, because it's a lot easier to look at
a map, compare it to your road map, and SEE what repeaters may be within
range. The ARRL seems to not be too worried about that one, and it
probably isn't cutting into the league's profits too much.

So why worried about this one?

I am in the process of becoming a life member, and I'm proud of what the
ARRL does for its members, but,

Hey, ARRL, this guy's trying to do something useful. Get off his case.
Howzabout he SHARES the project with you? Then you get to keep the
ever-so-precious data, AND people can still utilize the online DB!

--
73,   _____ _____   The
                                  \ /   Long   Original
Scott Rosenfeld Amateur Radio NF3I Burtonsville, MD | Live $5.00
  WAC-CW/SSB WAS DXCC - 125 QSLed on dipoles _____| Dipoles! Antenna!

Date: 25 Feb 94 17:19:54 -0700
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!
hamblin.math.byu.edu!yvax.byu.edu!physc1.byu.edu!peterson@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: On-line Repeater Directory
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <jfhCLsBMn.7nJ@netcom.com>, jfh@netcom.com (Jack Hamilton) writes:
>
> The ARRL isn't a for-profit organization, and its purpose isn't to make
> money. They provide many free services, such as the reciprocal operating
> information, the file server, etc. They also distribute the net directory
> in electronic form. There may be good reasons for keeping the repeater
> directory under their control, but "we have to make money off of it" isn't
> one of them.
>
> Perhaps they're working on their own electronic version?
>
> --
>
> --
> Jack Hamilton   Postal: POB 281107 SF CA 94128 USA
> jfh@netcom.com   Packet: kd6ttl@w6pw.#nocal.ca.us.na

It would be great if they were. That would make life much easier. The
online repeater directory was started, if you remember, after a discussion
of how one was very desirable but not available. If this exercise pushes
the ARRL into providing us with an electronic version of the directory then,
in my opinion, the project has achieved its goal. If they don't do it then
somebody else needs to - and if it requires writing a letter to each of the
coordinating bodies and trying to get them to provide a copy of their list
so we can enter the data and provide the data then so be it. I would just
like to see somebody provide it in electronic format so that I can use the
nice sorting/searching tools to find what I want without having to wander
through pages and pages of fine print that is not organized in exactly the
way I would like to see it.

Bryan Peterson, ki7td
ki7td@byu.edu

Date: Thu, 24 Feb 94 18:03:39 EST
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!sdd.hp.com!nigel.msen.com!
ilium!sycom!p-cove!wolfman@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: The *language* requirement!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

jangus@skyld.grendel.com (Jeffrey D. Angus) writes:

>
> In article <CLMt8E.3xE@world.std.com> drt@world.std.com writes:
>
>   >
>   > I've been thinking about the morse code requirement in the light of
>   > the discussion we've been having on language in this group, and I have
>   > an idea.
>   >
>
>   [basic interesting idea for bi(+)lingual requirements for license]
>
>   Ooh, this should just about shove ALL the Xenophobic amateurs right
>   over the edge. Just think, almost EVERY immigrant would qualify for
>   an instant license.

 Whats wrong with that? Don't forget they would have to know english
also. I think it's a good idea, but.. I really don't want to see code
taken totally away either. Illiminating it from the test would keep some
people from ever learning it. Code does come in handy when there are some
people on the hf bands calling cq. Not knowing the code, you could miss
out on interesting conversation.. I personally believe that it's outdated
and there are better ways to communicate, but I also like the *novelty*
of code.

see ya.

73 de Aaron
KB8PFZ

----

                        wolfman@p-cove.uucp (Aaron Smith)
                          Amateur radio station KB8PFZ

Date: 25 Feb 1994 15:17:46 -0600
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!
menudo.uh.edu!uuneo.NeoSoft.com!sugar.NeoSoft.COM!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <Bm-rOtb.edellers@delphi.com>, <2ke0aj$ngu@sugar.NeoSoft.COM>,
<5w2Imph.edellers@delphi.com>f
Subject : Re: Ed Ellers is trivial

In article <5w2Imph.edellers@delphi.com>,
Ed Ellers <edellers@delphi.com> wrote:
>A great x ray technician! <xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM> writes:
>
>>You have earned an Extra class ticket, haven't you Ed? Or is that nasty,
>>obsolete, unlearnable Morse code holding you back?
>
>Why would I *want* an Extra Class license? All it adds over Advanced is
>CW-only spectrum; since I have no desire to use CW there's no need for it.
>
>Why don't you try refuting facts with facts, if you are actually capable of
>doing so, instead of being a smart a--?

So, are you saying that you are now an Advanced, or that you are a
Codeless Technician that hopes someday to be an Advanced, or are you
simply stating the fact that there's a small difference in operating
privileges between Extra and Advanced.

All it adds over Advanced is CW-only spectrum? Ed, your ignorance is
showing. The Extra also grants phone portions, reserved exclusively
for that class.

--
Radiographers who are able to use a radiographic machine well are
great assets to the health care facility in which they are employed.

    --Dianne C. DeVos, "Basic Principles of Radiographic Exposure"

Date: 26 Feb 1994 01:33:14 -0600
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!
menudo.uh.edu!uuneo.NeoSoft.com!sugar.NeoSoft.COM!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <064307Z23021994@anon.penet.fi>, <2kf7jp$q6t@sugar.neosoft.com>,
<1994Feb26.013310.27262@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>ft.
Subject : Re: I WANT MY EXTRA CLASS PERMIT NOW

In article <1994Feb26.013310.27262@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>,
Jay Maynard <jmaynard@nyx10.cs.du.edu> wrote:
>In article <064307Z23021994@anon.penet.fi>,

>someone pretending to be Dan Pickersgill <an64930@anon.penet.fi> wrote:
>>>I want my EXTRA class permit NOW! - I'm far too busy to work for it.
>
>In article <2kf7jp$q6t@sugar.neosoft.com>,
>A great x ray technician! <xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM> took the bait:
>>You've been waiting three years? WHAT have you been doing, since you
>>obviously haven't been working on your upgrade. Ah...let me guess.
>>You've been posting in rec.radio.amateur.policy. And whining.
>
>Tsk, Robert. Dan says enough himself that's worthy of flaming for the rest of
>us to have to respond to obvious flamebait. After all, Dan doesn't post
>through the anon.penet.fi server...

But obviously someone wishing to emulate him does. And that's not me.
What purpose would it serve to emulate some whining, lazy excuse who
continually inflicts himself upon the Net stating that Morse is not
relevant, Morse is obsolete, and whatever other excuse his little
brain can think up to avoid putting forth EFFORT to upgrade.

  --Robert/WA3J

--
Radiographers who are able to use a radiographic machine well are
great assets to the health care facility in which they are employed.

   --Dianne C. DeVos, "Basic Principles of Radiographic Exposure"

Date: 25 Feb 1994 15:23:26 -0600
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!
menudo.uh.edu!uuneo.NeoSoft.com!sugar.NeoSoft.COM!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1994Feb22.184850.17346@cs.brown.edu>, <2ke0ns$ns4@sugar.NeoSoft.COM>,
<5y+JOdr.edellers@delphi.com>for-ma
Subject : Re: rec.dan.pickersgill.monologue

In article <5y+JOdr.edellers@delphi.com>,
Ed Ellers <edellers@delphi.com> wrote:
>
>Yeah, right. I've tried for twenty years, off and on, to memorize the Morse
>character set. It just hasn't worked. What you don't seem to realize is that
>different people are good at different things.

Please Ed, don't blame your ignorance on the rest of us. If you can't play
by the rules, you should find another hobby tailored for your mentality.

--
Radiographers who are able to use a radiographic machine well are
great assets to the health care facility in which they are employed.

    --Dianne C. DeVos, "Basic Principles of Radiographic Exposure"

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #87
****